# Aegean Journal of Obstetrics and Gynecology



# Original Article

# Investigation of the relationship between fear and fate of breast cancer in women over 40

Mehmet Salih Yıldırım a, Metin Yıldız b, †, Güray Okyar b

- <sup>a</sup> Department of First and Emergency Aid, Ağrı İbrahim Çeçen University Doğubayazıt Ahmed-i Hani Vocational School, Agrı / Turkey
- $^b$  Department of Nursing, Agri Ibrahim Cecen University School of Health, Agri / Turkey

ABSTRACT

Objective: This research was carried out to examine the relationship between breast cancer fatalism and fear in women over 40.

Material and methods: This research, which is planned as descriptive-correlational type, was conducted between March and May 2020 with women living in Iğdır.

Results: According to the findings obtained from the study, it was found that the total mean score of breast cancer fate of individuals was  $4.42 \pm 2.58$ , and the total mean score of fear of breast cancer was  $23.67 \pm 7.03$ . It was found that there was a statistically significant positive correlation between breast cancer fatalism total score mean, fear of breast cancer total score mean and age (p <0.05).

Conclusion: It was determined that the factors affecting breast cancer fatalism of women were educational level, income rate, job, and having a relative diagnosed with breast cancer. It has been determined that the factors affecting the fear of breast cancer are education level, income rate and job. It is recommended that the study be carried out in larger groups.

Keywords: fatalism; fear; breast cancer

ARTICLE INFO

Doi: 10.46328/aejog.v2i3.51

Article history:

Received: 01 August 2020 Revision received: 19 October 2020 Accepted: 02 November 2020

© 2020 AEJOG

#### Introduction

Breast cancer is the most common cancer type among women in the world. The rate of breast cancer seen in women in our country is 24.7% and this rate is the first among the cancers seen in women [1]. Early diagnosis facilitates treatment in breast cancer and prolongs the life of the individual. The American Cancer Society (ACS) recommends that women aged 45-54 undergo mammography screening annually, and women aged 55 and over every two years. In our country, women between the ages of 40-69 are recommended to have a screening every two years. Despite the recommended early diagnosis methods, the rate of women showing early diagnosis behavior in breast cancer is low. The main reasons for this are fear and fatalism [2,3].

Fear, one of the reasons for not showing early diagnosis behavior, negatively affects early diagnosis of cancer. Fear arises due to factors such as pain, loss of breast, cancer diagnosis, and death during screening. Studies have found that fear negatively affects breast cancer early diagnosis behavior in women [4,5]. Nevertheless, although fear is not always seen as an obstacle in women, it has been reported in studies that women positively affect the rate of early diagnosis behavior due to fear of breast cancer [6,7].

Another factor that prevents women's attitudes towards health and their participation in cancer screening in early diagnosis of breast cancer is fatalism. Fatalism is among the psychosocial barriers that negatively affect the individual's early diagnosis behavior [8].

Some women facing breast cancer may feel powerless. Fatalism belief also prevents many women from participating in breast cancer screenings. It is stated that women who think in a fatalistic way do not have screening tests because they believe that they cannot change their fate, even though they make efforts [9].

#### Material and methods

Study Design

This study, which was planned as a descriptive-correlational type, was conducted between March and May 2020 with women over the age of 40 living in Iğdır. The target population of the study consisted of women registered with the first step health institutions depends on the Provincial Directorate of Health in Iğdır located in the east of Turkey. The sample of the study consisted of women, who agreed to participate in the study, registered with the first step health institutions depends on the Provincial Directorate of Health in Iğdır located in the east of Turkey.

<sup>†</sup>Corresponding author. *E-mail: yildizz.metin@gmail.com ORCID ID: 0000-0003-0122-5677* 

#### Collection of Data

In the collection of research data, Introductory Information Form, Breast Cancer Fatalism Scale and Breast Cancer Fear Scale were used. After explaining the purpose of the research and obtaining verbal permission from those who voluntarily accepted to participate in the research, the data were collected online with the Google form prepared by the researchers.

#### Data Collection Tools

#### 1. Introductory Information Form

It consists of questions created by researchers and containing the introductory features of women.

#### 2. Breast Cancer Fatalism Scale

Powe Breast Cancer Fatalism Scale was developed in America and the original version of the scale consists of 15 questions. However, it was revised in 2001 as a result of qualitative interview methods, multiple interviews and factor analyzes by Mayo, Ureda and Parker (2001) [10]. The scale consists of eleven questions and is a dichotom type scale answered as yes / no. "Yes" answer is calculated as 1 point, "No" answer is calculated as 0 points. The increase in the score obtained from the scale indicates that fatalism has increased. Since there are 11 items on the scale, the scores that can be obtained from the scale vary between 0-11. The scale is single-sized and can be filled in 3 to 5 minutes. The internal validity coefficient of the original form of the scale is reported as 0.89. The Turkish validity and reliability study of the scale was conducted by Ersin et al. in 2014 [11]. The content validity index was determined as 0.80 in the study. The explained variance of the scale is 34.4%. Kuder Richarson -20 coefficient was calculated as 0.797 for the Turkish Power fatal scale [11]. Item total correlations of the participants ranged from 0.264 to 0.530. Powe Breast Cancer Fatalism Scale is determined to be a valid and reliable measurement tool in Turkish language after psychometric evaluation. In our study, Kuder Richarson - 20 coefficient was calculated as 0.72.

# 3. Breast Cancer Fear Scale

Breast Cancer Fear Scale, It was developed in 2004 by Champion et al. [12]. The cronbach alpha coefficient of the scale was specified as .91. The eight-item scale determines the relationship between breast cancer, mammography behavior, and women's emotional responses, and is a likerttype scale scored from 1 to 5. The highest score to be obtained from the scale is 40, and the lowest score is 8. Breast Cancer Fear Scale was adapted to Turkish by Seçginli. Cronbach alpha coefficient is 0.90 [13]. The Turkish Champion Breast Cancer Fear Scale is a scale consisting of eight items and the items in the scale are evaluated as (1) I strongly disagree, (2) I disagree, (3) I am indecisive, (4) I partially agree, (5) I totally agree. In the evaluation of the scores obtained from the breast cancer fear scale; It indicates low level fear of 8-15 points, medium level fear of 16-23 points and high level fear of 24-40 points. In our study, Cronbach alpha coefficient is 0.87.

# Statistical analysis

The analysis of the data was done on the computer using the SPSS statistical software. Descriptive statistics, Kolmogorov-Smirnov, Mann-Whitney U, Kruskal-Wallis and Spearman correlation tests were used to evaluate the data. Explore and normality plots with tests were used as descriptive statistical methods. Kolmogorov – Smirnov test was used to test normality distribution with analytical tests. Since the Kolmogorov – Smirnov test value was p <0.05, it was determined that the data were not distributed normally.

#### Ethical Principles

Consent was obtained from Ağrı İbrahim Çeçen University Scientific Research Ethics Committee and written permission was obtained from the institutions where the study would be conducted. Verbal permission was obtained from those who wanted to participate in the research by making necessary explanations to the individuals included in the research.

## **Results**

According to the findings of our study, 87.9% of the participating individuals were married, 59.1% were primary school graduates, 43.2% were less than their income, 78.4% of them have health insurance, 48.5% were not working, 87.9% of them have no relatives diagnosed with breast cancer and the average age of the group was found to be  $44.94 \pm 5.64$  (Table 1).

Table 1. Descriptive characteristics of individuals (N = 264)

Variables		n	%
Marital status	Single Married	32 232	12.1 87.9
Education Level	Primary education Secondary education High education	156 55 53	59.1 20.8 20.1
Income rate	Less than income Income equal to expense More than income	114 112 38	43.2 42.4 14.4
Health Assurance presence	Yes No	207 57	78.4 21.6
Job	Officer Worker Not working Housewife	32 11 128 93	12.1 4.2 48.5 35.2
Having a relative diagnosed with breast cancer	Yes No	32 232	12.1 87.9
	$\overline{X}$ ±SD		
Age (Years)	44.94 ± 5.64 (min. 40, max. 68)		

According to the findings obtained from the study, it was found that the total mean score of breast cancer fatality of individuals was  $4.42 \pm 2.58$ , and the lowest score was 0 and the highest score was 11. Breast cancer fear total score mean was  $23.67 \pm 7.03$ , and the lowest score was 0 and the highest score was 40 (Table 2).

Table 2. Breast Cancer Fatalism and Breast Cancer Fear Total Score Means

	$\overline{X}$ ±SD	Min- Max
Breast Cancer Fatalism	4.42±2.58	0.00-11.00
Breast Cancer Fear	23.67±7.03	0.00-40.00

The mean score of Breast Cancer Fatalism was statistically significantly higher in primary school graduates, those with lower income, workers with profession, and those who had no relatives diagnosed with breast cancer (p <0.05) (Table 3).

The Breast Cancer Fear score mean was found to be statistically significantly higher in primary school graduates, those with low income and those who did not work (p <0.05) (Table 4).

It was found that there was a statistically significant positive correlation between breast cancer fatalism total score mean, fear of breast cancer total score mean and age (p <0.05) (Table 5).

Table 3. Comparison of the demographic characteristics of the individuals and Breast Cancer Fatalism Scale

Variables		n	$\overline{X}$ ±SD	Statistic
Marital status	Single Married	32 232	4.18±2.71 4.45±2.57	U=3547.50 p= 0.683
Education Level	Primary Secondary Higher	156 55 53	4.79±2.41 4.18±2.50 3.56±2.93	x2 KW=8.41 p=0.015
Income rate according expanse	Less Equal More	114 112 38	5.40±2.51 3.78±2.34 3.34±2.50	x <sup>2</sup> KW=30.022 p= 0.001
Health Assurance presence	Yes No	207 57	4.46±2.54 4.24±2.73	U=5615.00 p=0.575
Job	Officer Worker Nonworker Housewife	32 11 128 93	3.65±2.40 5.72±1.67 4.80±2.61 4.00±2.57	x2 KW=12.229 p=0.007
Having a relative diagnosed with breast cancer	Yes No	32 232	3.56±2.47 4.53±2.58	U=2912.00 p=0.047

U=Mann-Whitney U Test; x2 KW=Kruskal-Wallis Test

# **Discussion**

Breast cancer is a type of cancer that threatens women's health, creates more than one variability in the body, causes the most death and has an increasing frequency of occurrence [14,15]. Breast cancer is the most important obstacle to increase life expectancy in developed and developing countries. It is the leading cause of morbidity and mortality in women [16]. In order to fight breast cancer, factors that prevent early screening behaviors should be known. One of these factors is the fact that individuals attribute the incidence of breast cancer to fate, and the other is the fear of breast cancer [17,18]. Many studies show that fatalism and fear negatively affect early diagnosis behaviors [19-21]. In this study, it was aimed to investigate the relationship between breast cancer fatalism and fear.

According to the findings obtained from the study, it was found that the total score mean of the Breast Cancer Fatalism Scale was 4.42  $\pm$  2.58. The result obtained in the study of Selvi supports our finding [21].

The mean score of the Breast Cancer Fear Scale was found to be  $23.67 \pm 7.03$ . A similar result was found in the study conducted by Champion et al. [22].

The Women's breast cancer fatalism scale score mean was statistically significantly higher in primary school graduates (p <0.05). This result shows that as the level of education increases, the tendency to fatalism decreases, so the importance given to learning needs increases and behaves that meet the needs. The finding is similar to the literature. [21,23-25]. The Women's Breast Cancer Fatalism Scale score mean were found to be statistically significantly higher in those whose income was lower than their expenses (p <0.05). It was found that the participation in the cervical, colorectal and breast scans was lower in the socioeconomically deprived group in the study of Lo et al. [26]

Table 4. Comparison of the demographic characteristics of the individuals and Fear of Breast Cancer Scale Scores

Variables		n	$\overline{X}$ ±SD	Statistic
Marital status	Single Married	32 232	22.21±5.79 23.87±7.18	U=3159.00 p= 0.171
Education Level	Primary Secondary Higher	156 55 53	25.04±6.47 22.90±6.72 20.41±7.85	x2 KW=18.442 p=0.001
Income rate according expanse	Less Equal More	114 112 38	24.52±6.63 23.86±7.36 20.52±6.49	x <sup>2</sup> KW=9.865 p= 0.007
Health Assurance presence	Yes No	207 57	23.35±7.21 24.82±6.27	U=5337.50 p=0.269
Job	Officer Worker Nonworker Housewife	32 11 128 93	20.12±6.45 21.72±6.48 24.54±7.45 23.91±6.34	x2 KW=9.903 p=0.019
Having a relative diagnosed with breast cancer	Yes No	32 232	24.34±5.32 23.57±7.24	U=3445.50 p=0.509

U=Mann-Whitney U Test; x2 KW=Kruskal-Wallis Test

It is thought that individuals with low income will have an increased tendency to fade, since low income will result in poor health screenings and the costs of institutions that receive support.

The mean score of the Women's Breast Cancer Fatalism Scale was found to be statistically significantly higher in those whose profession is worker (p < 0.05). In the study of Orhan, it has been determined that individuals with a professional job have a lower tendency towards fatalism [24]

Table 5. The Relationship Between Breast Cancer Fatalism Total Score Mean, Breast Cancer Fear Total Score mean and age

		(1)	(2)
(1) Breast Cancer Fatalism Total Score Mean	r p	-	
(2) Breast Cancer Fear Total	r	.149*	
Score Mean	p	.015	
(3) Age	r	.163*	.124*
	p	.008	.043

r and p, Spearman correlation test

The score mean of the women's breast cancer fatalism scale was statistically significantly higher in non-relatives who were diagnosed with breast cancer (p <0.05). The presence of a relatives diagnosed with cancer can be interpreted as increasing the individual risk perception of patients' relatives and increasing their belief that cancer can be prevented as their learning needs are met.

The Women's Breast Cancer Fear Scale mean score was found to be statistically significantly higher in primary school graduates (p <0.05). Our finding is in line with the literature [27,28].

The Women's Breast Cancer Fear Scale score mean was found to be statistically significantly higher in those whose income is less than their expenses (p <0.05). The result of the study is similar to the literature [11,29].

The mean score of the Women's Breast Cancer Fear Scale was found to be statistically significantly higher in those who did not work in any job (p < 0.05).

This result can be interpreted as the fact that women have poor access to health services due to poor income, not being able to reach adequate and accurate information about breast cancer, or the fatalistic approaches to breast cancer, increase their fear levels.

According to the findings obtained from our study, it was found that there was a statistically significant positive correlation between breast cancer fatalism total score mean, fear of breast cancer total score mean and age (p <0.05). This result is in line with the literature [21,23,28,30].

It was determined that the factors affecting breast cancer fatalism of women were educational level, income rate, job, and having a relative diagnosed with breast cancer. It has been determined that the factors affecting the fear of breast cancer are education level, income rate and job. It is recommended that the study be carried out in larger groups.

## Acknowledgments

The authors wish to thank all participants for engaging in this study.

#### Disclosure

Authors have no potential conflicts of interest to disclose.

#### References

- [1] Turkish Gynecological Oncology Association. Cancer Psychology and Coping Ways. 2013.
- http://www.trsgo.org/menu/158/kanserpsikolojisi-ve-basetme-yollari. (Accessed: 08.07.2020)
- [2] Fouladi N, Pourfarzi F, Mazaheri E, Asl HA, Rezaie M, Amani F, et al. Beliefs and behaviors of breast cancer screening in women referring to health care centers in northwest Iran according to the champion health belief model scale. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 2013; 14(11), 6857-62.
- [3] Akhtari-Zavare M, Juni MH, Said S, Ismail IZ. Belief and behavior of Malaysia undergraduate female students in a pubic university toward breast self examination practice. Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev, 2013; 14(1): 57-61.
- [4] Yarbrough S, Braden C. Utility of health belief model as a guide for explaining or predicting breast cancer screening behaviors. Journal of Advanced Nursing, 2011; 33(5): 677-
- [5] Al-Naggar RA, Bobryshev YV. Practice and barriers of mammography among Malaysian women in the general population. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev, 2012; 13(8): 3595-3600.
- [6] Kıssal A, Beşer A. Knowledge, facilitators and perceived barriers for early detection of breast cancer among elderly Turkish women. Asian Pacific J Cancer Prev, 2011; 12: 975-984.
- [7] Koc Z, Sağlam Z. Determination of women's knowledge and practices on breast cancer, preventive measures and breast self-examination and effectiveness of training. Journal of Breast Health 2009; 1; 5 (1).
- [8] Franklin MD, Schlundt DG, McClellan LH, Kinebrew T, Sheats J, Belue R, et al. Religious fatalism and its association with health behaviors and outcomes. American journal of health behavior. 2007 Nov 1;31(6):563-72.
- [9] Ogedegbe G, Cassells AN, Robinson CM, DuHamel K, Tobin JN, Sox CH, et al. Perceptions of barriers and facilitators of cancer early detection among low-income minority women in community health centers. Journal of the National Medical Association. 2005; 97(2):162.

- [10] Mayo RM, Ureda JR, Parker VG. Importance of fatalism in understanding mammography screening in rural elderly women. Journal of women & aging, 2001; 13(1), 57-72. [11] Ersin F, Capik C, Kissal A, Aydogdu NG, Beser A. Breast cancer fatalism scale: A validity and reliability study in Turkey. International Journal of Caring Sciences, 2014; 11(2), 783.
- [12] Champion VL, Skinner CS, Menon U, Rawl S, Giesler RB, Monahan P, et al. A breast cancer fear scale: psychometric development. Journal of health psychology. 2004; 9(6):753-
- [13] Secginli S. "Mammography self-efficacy scale and breast cancer fear scale: psychometric testing of the Turkish versions." Cancer Nursing 2012; 35(5) 365-373. [14] American Cancer Society (ACS). Breast Cancer Facts &
- Figures (2017). http://www.cancer.org/research/cancerfactsstatistics/breast-cancer-facts-figures. (Accessed: 08.07.2020)
- [15] Ferlay J, Colombet M, Soerjomataram I. Global and Regional Estimates of the Incidence and Mortality for 38 Cancers: GLOBOCAN 2018. Lyon: International Agency for Research on Cancer. World Health Organization; 2018.
- [16] Bray F, Ferlay J, Soerjomataram I, Siegel RL, Torre LA, Jemal A. Global cancer statistics 2018: GLOBOCAN estimates of incidence and mortality worldwide for 36 cancers in 185 countries. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians 2018; 68(6): 394-424.
- [17] Wu TY, Bancroft J. Filipino American women"s perceptions and experiences with breast cancer screening. In Oncology Nursing Forum 2006; 33(4):71-78.
- [18] Tejeda S, Thompson B, Coronado GD, Martin DP. Barriers and facilitators related to mammography use among lower educated Mexican women in the USA. Social Science & Medicine 2009; 68(5): 832-839.
- [19] Miller KD, Siegel RL, Lin CC, Mariotto AB, Kramer JL, Rowland JH, et al. Cancer treatment and survivorship statistics, 2016. CA: a cancer journal for clinicians 2016; 66(4): 271-289.
- [20] Lee CH, Kim YI. Effects of attitude, social influence, and self-efficacy model factors on regular mammography performance in life-transition aged women in Korea. Asian Pac J Cancer Prev 2015; 16: 3429-34.
- [21] Selvi A. The relationship between the educational needs of women with breast cancer and their tendency to fatalism. Master Thesis. Istanbul University-Cerrahpasa Graduate Education Institute, Istanbul, 2019, p. 49.
- [22] Champion VL, Wagner LI, Monahan PO, Daggy J, Smith L, et al. Comparison of younger and older breast cancer survivors and age-matched controls on specific and overall quality of life domains. Cancer. 2014; 120(15):2237-46.
- [23] Powe BD, Hamilton J, Brooks P. Perceptions of Cancer Fatalism and Cancer Knowledge: A Comparison of Older and Younger African American Women. Journal of Psychosocial Oncology, 2006; 24(4): 1-13.
- [24] Orhan K. Entrepreneur overcome his fate: the effect of fatalism on entrepreneurial tendency. International Journal of Management, Economics and Business. 2017; 13 (5): 143-59
- [25] Emanuel AS, Godinho CA, Steinman C, Updegraff JA. Education differences in cancer fatalism: The role of information-seeking experiences. Journal of Health Psychology, 2016; 1-12: 1533-44.
- [26] Lo SH, Waller J, Wardle J, Wanger C. Comparing barriers to colorectal cancer screening with barriers to breast and cervical screening: a population-based survey of screening-age women in Great Britain. Journal of Medical Screening, 2013; 20(2): 73-79.
- [27] Seven M, Bağcivan G, Akyuz A, Bölükbaş F. Women with Family History of Breast Cancer: How Much Are They Aware of Their Risk?. Journal of Cancer Education 2018;

33(4): 915-921.

[28] Kayan S. The relationship between women's knowledge of breast cancer and their fear of breast cancer. Master's thesis, Pamukkale University, Institute of Health Sciences, 2019.

[29] Coskun SP. The Effect of Fear of Breast Cancer and Perception of Fatalism on Early Diagnosis Behaviors in Poor Women. Master Thesis. Dokuz Eylül University Institute of Health Sciences, İzmir, 2019, p. 32.

[30] April-Sanders A, Oskar S, Shelton RC, Schmitt KM, Desperito E, Protacio A, et al. Predictors of breast cancer worry in a Hispanic and predominantly immigrant mammography screening population. Women's Health Issues, 2017; 27(2), 237-244.